CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

OFFICE OF THE CITY AUDITOR

Southside School Restoration Project Follow-up- Cost Update Report #12/13-02

January 18, 2013



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

City Auditor's Office

Memorandum

Memorandum No: 12/13-03

Date: January 18, 2013

To: Honorable Mayor and Commissioners

From: John Herbst, CPA, CGFO, CGMA

City Auditor

Re: Southside School Restoration Project follow-up, cost update

When we presented our initial review of the Southside School construction project, the Commission requested that we bring back an update to the report to include the costs for Hardy Park, so as to provide a complete picture of the entire parcel.

Since that time, we have worked diligently with both the Departments of Public Works and Parks and Recreation to try to determine what those numbers might be. Unfortunately, the departments have been unable to supply us with accurate figures for the costs to complete both Southside School and Hardy Park, and assert that they are not readily determinable at this time. The reasons given for the inability to estimate those amounts arise from the lack of consensus as to:

- the intended occupants and uses of the school, and
- whether the conceptual plan and associated costs for the park, as originally developed in 2004, still meet the needs of the community; those plans and cost estimates have not been revisited since their initial development.

Attached is a complete schedule that details:

- the original 2004 planning cost estimate by Keith & Schnars
- the 2006 engineering cost estimate by Faithful & Gould (including the architects cost)
- project funds budgeted, spent, encumbered, and remaining to date
- best case and worst case estimates for remaining funds needed
- best case and worst case estimates of total project cost

The estimates for best case/worst case are highly subjective and are dependent upon on the outcome of pending litigation and how we choose to proceed with the future development of both Southside School and Hardy Park.

cc: Lee R. Feldman, City Manager Harry Stewart, City Attorney Jonda Joseph, City Clerk

City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida South Side School Project Estimated versus Actual Costs

	2004 Cost Estimate	2006 Cost Estimate	Project Budget as of July/2012	Spent as of July/2012	Encumbered as of July/2012	Remaining Budget as of July/2012	Worst Case Scenario Estimated Cost to Complete	Worst Case Scenario Estimated Funds Needed	Worst Case Scenario Estimated Total Project Cost	Best Case Scenario Estimated Cost to Complete	Best Case Scenario Estimated Funds Needed	Best Case Scenario Estimated Total Project Cost
School - Northeast Area (S) Site Acquisition (NE) Improvements	\$ 761,810 5,935,715 6,697,525	\$ 761,810 3,633,614 4,395,424	\$ 761,810 6,938,044 7,699,854	\$ 761,810 3,826,169 4,587,979	\$ - G 1,955,289 1,955,289	\$ 1,156,586 E 1,156,586	\$ - 4,340,000 A 4,340,000	\$ - 4 1,228,125 1,228,125	\$ 761,810 8,166,169 8,927,979	\$ - 2,375,000 2,375,000	\$ - (736,875) (736,875)	\$ 761,810 6,201,169 6,962,979
Playground, Pavillion, etc Southeast Area (P)												
Site Acquisition (SE) Improvements	3,856,662 574,976 4,431,638	3,856,662 3,301,538 7,158,200	3,856,662 1,807,107 5,663,769	3,856,662 1,455,236 5,311,898	G 351,871 351,871	G	360,000 360,000	8,129 8,129	3,856,662 1,815,236 5,671,898	360,000 360,000	C 8,129 8,129	3,856,662 1,815,236 5,671,898
Total East Side	11,129,163	11,553,624	13,363,623	9,899,877	2,307,160	1,156,586	4,700,000	1,236,254	14,599,877	2,735,000	C (728,746)	12,634,877
Park -West Side (W) Total South Side Project	2,383,884 F \$ 13,513,047	2,804,738 \$ 14,358,362	259,585 E \$ 13,623,208	259,585 \$ 10,159,462	G	\$ 1,156,586	2,545,153 (\$ 7,245,153	\$ 2,545,153 \$ 3,781,407	D 2,804,738 \$ 17,404,615	2,545,153 \$ 5,280,153	\$ 2,545,153 [\$ 1,816,407	2,804,738 \$ 15,439,615
Total Site Acquisition Improvements	\$ 4,618,472 B 8,894,575 F	9,739,890	\$ 4,618,472 E 9,004,736 E	5,540,990	\$ - 2,307,160	\$ 1,156,586	\$ - 7,245,153	\$ 3,781,407 \$ 3,781,407	\$ 4,618,472 12,786,143 \$ 17,404,615	\$ - 5,280,153 \$ 5,280,153	\$ 1,816,407 \$ 1,816,407	\$ 4,618,472 10,821,143 \$ 15,439,615
Grand Total	\$ 13,513,047	\$ 14,358,362	\$ 13,623,208 (735,154) d	\$ 10,159,462 iff	H <u>\$ 2,307,160</u>	H \$ 1,156,586 H	T \$ 7,245,153	φ 3,701,407	Ψ 17,404,010	Ψ 0,200,100		10, 100,010

Tickmarks

A = As per the Public Works Director, given the worst case scenario, it will cost between \$2.4 and \$2.6 million to complete the East side (school and playground components) of the Hardy Park project and \$2.1 million (maximum exposure) to settle the West Construction contract. As per the City Architect, \$360,000 of the remaining work applies to the playground.

Worst Case Scenario Estimated Cost to Complete:

School + Playground	\$ 2,600,000
West Construction Contract Settlement	2,100,000
Total East side Est. Costs to Complete	4,700,000
Playground Component	 360,000
School Component	\$ 4,340,000

- Improvement cost estimates provided by the City Architect (Exhibit A, p. 1/2) and site acquisition costs obtained from HUD closing statements
- As per the Public Works Director, given the best case scenario, it will cost \$2.1 million to complete the East side (school and playground components) of the Hardy Park project and \$635,000 to settle the West Construction contract. This settlement estimate is based on \$435,000 still owed to West Construction for actual work performed plus \$200,000 demobilization cost which includes a 10% profit margin. As per the City Architect, \$360,000 of the remaining work applies to the playground.

Best Case Scenario Estimated Cost to Complete:

ete.		
School + Playground	\$	2,100,000
West Construction Contract Settlement		635,000
Total East side Est. Costs to Complete	-	2,735,000
Playground Component		360,000
School Component	\$	2,375,000

- D = Compilation within Exhibit B was prepared by CAO based upon the City Architect using and assigned costs as listed in the Faithful + Gould 12/15/2006 Southside/Hardy Park project plus the original \$640,000 cost estimate for architectural services from R,J. Heisenbottle.
- E = Includes \$800,000 appropriation approved by City Commission on 06-05-12 (Exhibit D, p. 2/10). Assumption: Remaining budgeted funds will be spent on the school.
- Refer to schedule prepared by the City Architect (Exhibit A p. 1/2, March 2004 column), Keith and Schnars estimate (Exhibit A, p. 2/2) and determination of allocation % (Exhibit B, p. 1/13) for amounts paid to R.J. Heisenbottle. The RJ Heisenbottle original total payments of \$640,000, as well as subsequent Heisenbottle contract increases \$327,586 and internal engineering costs were allocated 58.5% school, 22.4% Playground, and 19.1% Park (Exhibit B, p. 1/13). The original 2004 amount as shown on the City Architect schedule is \$451,132 greater than the original Keith and Schnars estimate as a result of the City adding Phase III (See City Architect schedule for detail, Exhibit A, p. 1/2) which was not originally included on the Keith and Schnars (K & S) estimate (See City Architect email dated 10/01/12, Exhibit E). As per the City Architect, the K & S phase III (Wand V equate to the City's phase II with the City having phase III as additional. There are also some additions to phase I never clearly noted in the K & S cost estimate, specifically the site civil (Man-Con) work (See City Architect email. Exhibit E).
- G = Refer to Summary and Detail analysis (Exhibit C). Spent and encumbered amounts were reviewed and assigned to either; School, Playground, or Park by the City Architect.
- H = Equals total amended combined budgets \$13,623,208 for projects #P10777 \$13,343,548 and #P16008 \$279,660 (Exhibit D)

Coutiliside d	Hardy Park Costs	March-04 Original Cost	Spent or	y-07 Estimate of	Spent or	y-08 Estimate of	Spent or	y-09 Estimate of	Spent or	mber-10 Estimate of	Spent or	y-12 Estimate of
PHASE I		Estimate	encumbered	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Costs	The second secon	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Cost
Design	Heisenbottle - Original Contract (3/7/06) Heisenbottle - Task Order 1 (9/6/06) Heisenbottle - Task Order 2 (12/4/07) Heisenbottle - Task Order 3 Part 1 (12/1/09) Heisenbottle - Task Order 3 Part 2 Heisenbottle - Nova Revisions Archaeologist EE&G EE&G - Mold Consulting		\$511,520.65	\$171,523.35	\$640,000.00 \$42,985.00 \$79,164.00 \$11,456.00		\$640,000.00 \$42,985.00 \$79,164.00 \$11,456.00	\$111,920.22 \$85,900.00	\$640,000.00 \$42,985.00 \$79,164.00 \$111,920.22	\$85,900.00	\$640,000.00 \$42,985.00 \$79,164.00 \$111,920.22 \$3,829.84 \$90,000.00 \$1,000.00	\$15,000.0 \$18,870.0
	Douglas Wood & Associates Total	\$988,286.10	\$511,520.65	\$171,523.35	\$773,605.00		\$773,605.00	\$197,820.22	\$885,525.22	\$85,900.00	\$980,355.06	\$8,770.0 \$42,640.0
Building	Demo & Site Prep Work Windows (11/7/06) Doors (11/7/06) Doors (11/7/06) Door Priming (9/18/07) Trintec - Exterior Walls (4/17/07) Trintec - Exterior Roof CO #1 (9/18/07) Trintec - Exterior Stucco CO #2 (5/20/08) Trintec - Exterior Stucco CO #2 (5/20/08) Trintec - Structural Plumbing CO #4 (7/15/08) Trintec - Structural/Plumbing CO #4 (7/15/08) Trintec - Roof Drainpipes CO #6 (10/7/08) Trintec - Structual CO #7 (11/18/08) Trintec - Structual CO #7 (11/18/08) Trintec - CO #8 (2/9/09) Trintec - CO #10 (5/19/09) Trintec CO #11 (6/5/09) Trintec CO #12 (3/12/10) Man Con - Site Work Contract (7/15/08) Man Con - CO #2 (9/18/09) West Construction Bid Pac 3 Base Bid (9/7/10) West - Bid Pac 3 Alternates (9/7/10) West - CO #1 (3/1/11) West - CO #1 (6/21/11)		\$83,894.58 \$337,480.00 \$54,552.99 \$392,415.00 \$377,630.00	\$2,317,818.24 \$2,113,775.52	\$83,894.58 \$337,480.00 \$54,552.99 \$13,200.00 \$392,415.00 \$320,020.00 \$119,220.00	\$322,780.70 \$306,564.50 \$710,000.00 \$2,317,818.24 \$702,284.61	\$83,894.58 \$337,480.00 \$54,552.99 \$13,200.00 \$392,415.00 \$320,020.00 \$119,220.00 \$151,970.00 \$293,436.56 \$58,879.00 \$374,047.45 \$9,999.00 \$59,306.10 \$8,548.00 \$746,620.28 \$7,814.50		\$83,894.58 \$337,480.00 \$54,552.99 \$13,200.00 \$392,415.00 \$320,020.00 \$119,220.00 \$119,220.00 \$293,436.56 \$58,879.00 \$374,047.45 \$9,999.00 \$59,306.10 \$8,548.00 \$746,620.28 \$7,814.50 \$8,926.12 \$2,801,320.00 \$430,700.00		\$83,894.58 \$337,480.00 \$54,552.99 \$13,200.00 \$392,415.00 \$320,020.00 \$119,220.00 -\$151,970.00 \$293,436.56 \$58,879.00 \$374,047.45 \$9,999.00 \$59,306.10 \$8,548.00 \$746,620.28 \$7,814.50 \$8,926.12 \$2,801,320.00 \$430,700.00 \$37,337.00 \$65,000.00	
	West - CO #3 (7/6/11) West - Nova Changes West - Unforeseen conditions Decon - Mold Remediation Total	\$5,357,567.67	\$1,245,972.57	\$4,431,593.76	\$1,320,782.57	\$4,359,448.05	\$2,727,463.46	\$2,722,554.24	\$5,968,409.58	\$0.00	\$85,713.37 \$90,914.15 \$6,247,374.10	\$426,470.0 \$300,000.0
	Grand Total Phase I	121 5 752	\$1,757,493.22		\$2,094,387.57						\$7,227,729.16	
Phase I Total Co	st Projection	\$6,345,853.77		,610.33		835.62		,442.92		,834.80		,839.16 Estimate of
PHASE II			Spent or encumbered	Estimate of Remaining Costs	Spent or encumbered	Estimate of Remaining Costs	Spent or encumbered	Estimate of Remaining Costs	Spent or encumbered	Estimate of Remaining Costs	Spent or encumbered	Remaining Cost
Playground	Boundless Playground (5/1/07) Shade Structures (9/7/10) Related work	1		\$487,000.00 \$216,150.00 \$72,050.00	\$487,000.00	\$216,150.00 \$72,050.00	\$487,000.00	\$216,150.00 \$72,050.00		Part of Alternate		Part of Alternate
	Total	\$353,600.00	A775	\$775,200.00	\$487,000.00		\$487,000.00			\$0.00 000.00	\$572,000.00	\$0.0 000.00
Total to Complet	te Southside Property	\$353,600.00 \$6,699,453.77		200.00 ,810.33		035.62		200.00 ,642.92		,834.80		,839.16
Hardy Park	Balance of Park Total	\$0,000,130.11	V 11100	\$1,643,423.03 \$1,643,423.03	V1,1=20	\$1,643,423.03 \$1,643,423.03		\$1,643,423.03 \$1,643,423.03		\$1,643,423.03 \$1,643,423.03		\$1,643,423.0 \$1,643,423.0
Pavillion	Pavillion (9/7/10) Total			\$158,022.94 \$158,022.94	legenta.	\$158,022.94 \$158,022.94		\$158,022.94 \$158,022.94	\$35,000.00		\$35,000.00	1000
Phase II Total Co	Grand Total Phase II	\$1,739,521.17 \$1,739,521.17	¢2 E70	\$2,576,645.97 ,645.97	\$487,000.00	\$2,089,645.97 ,645.97	\$487,000.00 \$2.576	\$2,089,645.97 ,645.97		\$1,643,423.03 ,423.03	\$607,000.00 \$2.250	\$1,643,423.0 ,423.03
riiase ii Totai Co	ost Projection	\$1,738,521.17	Spent or	Estimate of	Spent or	Estimate of	Spent or	Estimate of	Spent or	Estimate of	Spent or	Estimate of
PHASE III			encumbered	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Costs	encumbered	Remaining Cost
Amenities	Exterior Stage (9/7/10) Park Office Shelters (9/7/10) Total			\$132,138.26 \$140,987.44 \$123,000.00 \$396,125.70		\$132,138.26 \$140,987.44 \$123,000.00 \$396,125.70		\$140,987,44 \$123,000.00 \$396,125.70	T Karing	\$140,987.44 \$140,987.44	\$175,000.00 \$175,000.00	\$140,987.4
Phase III Total C	Grand Total Phase III	\$455,600.00 \$455,600.00	¢20¢	\$396,125.70 125.70	¢20¢	\$396,125.70 125.70	¢20¢	\$396,125.70 125.70		\$140,987.44 987.44	\$175,000.00 \$315.	\$140,987.4 987.44
rnase III Total C	ost riojection	\$455,000.00	743 × 1241	E = 61 (01)=		DECEMBER 1		and all all and	TO ASSESS	NAME OF	Turne Valida	THE STATE OF THE S
Grand Totals	dy Park Grand Totals	\$8,894,574.93		\$7,575,888.78 ,382.00	\$2,581,387.57 \$0,426	\$6,845,219.72 ,607.29		\$5,406,146.13 ,214.59		\$1,870,310.47 ,245.27		\$2,553,520.4 3,249.63
	uv Park Grand Lotais	00.034.5/4.93	39.333	.304.00	33.420	.007.25	Ψ3,334	.4.14.00	Ψ3,300	, 270.21	Ψ10,300	7,270.00

2004 Original Keith and Schnars Cast Estimate

×		Total Cost	By Area										Common Services						1,671,357				3,949,020															1,443,226					1,379,840	
٦		T	ST																0				0		26,856	6,000	78,500	7,200	15,000	20,000	7,650	7,550	10,000	525,000	4,850	10,000	26,000	770,606						See See
_		PHASE FIVE	DECSRIPTION																						20,000 Greenway trail	ped gateway ent.	2,595 seat wall	17,300 bollards	27,720 prefeb bench seats	85,000 LB/Croquet drainage	43,645 LB/Croquet infgation	125,000 LB/Croquet sed	9,600 backstop CL redo	chic/club center	dumpster enclosure	skate amenities	Sod- St. Augustine							
I		OUR	COST		90,000	5,000	26,000	106,920	240,570	67,288									524,756				0		20,000	2,595	2,595	17,300	27,720	85,000	43,645	125,000	9,600					333,455						200 000
Ø		PHASE FOUR	DECSRIPTION		160,000 large pavallon	sand volleyball	1,600 Rehab Imgation	Toes	Salms	35,000 Groundcover															218,000 Demolition at Hardy	8,000 curb demo on 7th	2,400 sidewalk removei	40,000 curbing on 7th	72,765 sidewalk on 7th	Rehab Parking lot 9th	resod multi-purpose	tennis/handball walls	CL fence 8' w/gates											
L	-	REE	COST		160,000	12,851	1,600	8,000 Trees	280,000 Palms	35,000	169,785	100,000	3,500	24,000	8,000	900	7,150	10,800	801,288				0		218,000	8,000	2,400	40,000	72,765									339,165						194 044 4
В	SCHOOL PROJECT	PHASE THREE	DECSRIPTION		Pedestrian Lights (80%)	Concrete Pavers	Pavillon Lights	Park Entrance Signs (2)	Playground	Small Pavillon	Padestrian Path (70%)	basketball courts	Exercise Stations(3)	Benches	Orinking Fountains	Gritis	Picnic Tables	Trash Receptacies							Athletic Field Lights	Park Entrance Signs	Bike Racks/Pads	Pedestrian Lights (20%)	Pedestrian Path (30%)															
0	THSIDE SC	TWO	COST		96,000 P	٥	а	d	4	S	<u>a</u>	Α	Ш	as	3	Q	ш.	-	55,000		2,781,000	695,250	3,476,250		1		-											0		529,960	418,390	418,390	1,388,740	A 967 00A
	FLORENCE HARDY PARK/SOUTH	ASE	DECSRIPTION		Parking lots on 7th																472,770 School Rehab(2 yrs.)	Contingency 25%																		5,100 Design/Pernits (Park)	8,000 Contingency 15% (Park)	Mobilization 15% (Park)		
9	ICE HAR	E	COST		35,000	1,800	138,600	6,400	1,500	82,801	798'8	14,547							280,315		472,770		472,770			1												0		5,100	8,000		13,100	778 485
i	FLOREN	PHASE ONE	DECSRIPTION	Southside - South Parcel	Demolition South Side	Landscape Removal	Asphalt Removal	Light Pole Demo	Temp. Signage	impation/connect to existing	Clean fill	Sod Parking Lot							19 Subtotal by Area	Southside - North Parcel	Design & Inspection (17%)		Subtotal by Area	Florence C. Hardy Park													10	Subtotal by Area	General Costs	Land Use/Zoning Changes	Phase 1 Archeological Survey		Subtotal by Area	Total Costs by Phase
+	-	2	3	4	5	9	7 As	8	9 Te	10 lm	11 (2)	12 Sc	13	4	15	16	17	18	19	20 8	21 0	22	23 8	24	25	26	27	28	83	8	31	32	33	क्ष	35	36	37		38	40	41 F		43	

p. 2/2 Exhibit A

City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida Faithful + Gould Hardy Park Improvements Analyzed For % Allocation of Original Contract Payment to RJ Heisenbottle

4	PARK (W)		PLA	YGROUND (P)	2	_ 5	CHOOL (S)		12000	See Secretary
				446,974					446,974	Site Demolition
	106,050						112,890		218,940	
	157,050								157,050	
	10,000								10,000	Site Improvements
				226,060			96,883		322,943	Landscaping
				100,327			42,998		143,325	Irrigation
				48,000					48,000	
	403,273								403,273	
	44,130								44,130	10.00 (C)
	12,750								12,750	
	30,250								30,250	
				887,875					887,875	
				00,10.0			28,000		28,000	
	15,900						20,000		15,900	
	211,330									
	8,000								211,330	
	733,095								8,000	
	733,093								733,095	
	40.000						11,000		11,000	Tent Fumigation
	10,000								10,000	Site Signage
	2,400								2,400	Misc.
\$	1,744,228		\$	1,709,236		\$	291,771		\$ 3,745,235	Total Direct Hardy Park Improvements Allocated
	46.57%			45.64%			7.79%		100%	
	737,010			722,292			123,283		1,582,585	Total Indirect Hardy Park Improvements Allocated
	2,481,238	(W)		2,431,528	(P)		415,054	(S)	5,327,820	Total Hardy Park Improvements Allocated
				653,050					653,050	Playground/Restrooms/Shelters/Pavillion (P)
							2,979,840		2,979,840	School Restoration (S)
	139,180						27 17 37 18 2		139,180	Park Office Restoration (W)
\$	2,620,418		\$	3,084,578		\$	3,394,894		\$ 9,099,890	Total Estimated Project Cost 12/15/06 by Faithful + Gould
	28.80%			33.90%			37.30%		100%	
\$	184,320	(W)	\$	216,960	(P)	\$	238,720 327,586	(S)	\$ 640,000 327,586	Allocated Original RJ Heisenbottle contract Balance of RJ Heisenbottle payments (\$967,586 -
\$	184,320		\$	216,960		\$	566,306		\$ 967,586	\$640,000) apply to School per City Architect
				88 1821		0.0				Auditorian in Auditorian in Commission
	19.05%			22.42%			58.53%		100%	Allocation of RJ Heisenbottle payments
\$	2,804,738		\$	3,301,538		\$	3,633,614		\$ 9,739,890	Original 2006 Faithful + Gould Estimate + Original R.J. Heisenbottle Contract

Auditor Note: The City Architect was not able to ascertain the breakout of payments to R.J. Heisenbottle into the three categories. For this reason, an allocation % was calculated and applied.

TIME 09:51:32 SUMMARY PAGE 1	UNIT COST	142.58 289.99 242.07 236.70 600.65
TI	TOTAL COST	5,327,820 653,050 2,979,840 139,180 9,099,890
	ESCALT'N	301,570 36,960 168,670 7,880 515,090
	CONTNGCY	146,400 17,940 81,880 3,820
WS AVENUE	INS BOND	142,130 17,420 79,490 3,710 242,760
COUTH ANDRE	FEE & PR	561,790 430,700 142,130 68,860 52,790 17,420 314,210 240,890 79,490 14,680 11,250 3,710 959,530 735,640 242,760
ED - 701 S STIMATE- D Rounded to	GEN/COND	561,790 68,860 314,210 14,680
FAITHFUL + GOULD HL REN/HARDY PRK R 100% OWNER REVIEW E SUMMARY - BIDITEM (QUANTITY DOM TOTAL DIRECT GEN/COND FEE & PR INS BOND CONTNGCY ESCALT'N	3,745,230 459,070 2,094,700 97,840 6,396,840
FAITHFUL + GOULD O3: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT PROJECT INDIRECT SUMMARY - BIDITEM (Rounded to 10's) ***	QUANTITY UOM TOTAL DIRECT	37367.00 SY 2252.00 SF 12310.00 SF 15150.00 SF
PROJECT 965-03: PROJ ** PROJEC		100 FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT PALK 37367.00 SY DZO RESTROOM/SHELTERS/PAVILLION Playsrawed 2252.00 SF 040 HISTORIC SCHOOL RESTORATION TO A 12310.00 SF TOTAL SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED 15150.00 SF
Fri 15 Dec 2006 Eff. Date 12/15/06		

Eff. Date 12/15/06 PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE

PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT

** PROJECT DIRECT SUMMARY - BIDITEM (Rounded to 10's) **

SUMMARY PAGE 2

		testesta	QUANTITY UOM	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
Park(w) unless specific				7.	**********
	010 FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVI	MENT	37367.00 SY	100.23	3,745,230
Playground	020 RESTROOM/SHELTERS/PAVILLION		2252.00 SF	203.85	459,070
School	040 HISTORIC SCHOOL RESTORATION	1	12310.00 SF	170.16	2,094,700
Park (w)	050 HISTORIC PARK OFFICE RESTOR	ATION	588.00 SF	166.39	97,840
	TOTAL SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PR	K RED	15150.00 SF	422.23	6,396,840
	GENERAL CONDITIONS	15%		63.34	959,530
	CUPTOTAL			105 57	
	SUBTOTAL	200		485,57	7,356,360
	G.C. FEE & PROFIT	10%		48.56	735,640
	47000000			200	********
	SUBTOTAL	-70		534.13	8,092,000
	INSURANCE AND BONDS	3%		16.02	242,760
	SUBTOTAL			550.15	8,334,760
	DESIGN/ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY	3%		16.50	250,040

	SUBTOTAL			566.65	8,584,800
	ESCALATION TO MIDPOINT	6%		34.00	515,090
	TOTAL INCL INDIRECTS			600.65	9,099,890

PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT

010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

DETAIL PAGE 1

SITE DEMOLITION QUANTY UOM UNIT COST TOTAL COST

FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

SITE DEMOLITION

201 JET 201 CALL V 201 JET	2.00	FA	COO 00	1 500
REMOVE EX.TREES 24" CAL X 30' HT			500.00	1,500
REMOVE EX.TREES 18" CAL X 30' HT			450,00	450
REMOVE EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT			0.60	69,810
REMOVE EXISTS RED TILE CONCRETE			1.00	6,726
REMOVE EXISTING CONCRETE PAVING			1.50	28,508
REMOVE EXISTING GRASS	16000		1.50	24,000
REMOVE EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB			1.00	630
REMOVE EXISTING CONCRETE WALL	146.00		10.00	1,460
REMOVE EXISTING COLUMNS	109.00		100.00	10,900
REMOVE EXISTING CURB	520.00		5.00	2,600
REMOVE EXISTING CURB AND GUTTER			10.00	300
REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	2900.00		1.75	5,075
REMOVE EXISTING CONCRETE POLE	10.00		200.00	2,000
REMOVE EXISTING GREASE TRAPS	2.00		1000.00	2,000
REMOVE EXISTING FUEL OIL TANK	1.00		5000.00	5,000
REMOVE EXISTING PARKING METERS	8.00		75.00	600
REMOVE EXISTING STRIPING	600.00		0.20	120
SAW CUT ASPHALT	580.00		1.50	870
REMOVE EXISTING FLAG POLE	1.00	EA	500.00	500
REMOVE EXISTING DRINKING WATER	1.00	EA	200.00	200
REMOVE/RELOCATE METAL BLEACHERS	1.00	L/S	500.00	500
REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	10.00	EA	100,00	1,000
REMOVE RELOCATE WOOD LIGHT POLE	3.00	EA	250.00	750
REMOVE RELOCATE IRRIG. BOX CNTRL	7.00	EA	50.00	350
REMOVE REPLACE TRASH CANS	7.00	EA	500.00	3,500
REMOVE EXISTING 10"X10" DRAINS	5.00	EA	50.00	250
PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES	1.00	L/S	1000.00	1,000
LANDSCAPE DEMOLITION	1.00	L/S	0.00	0
PROTECT EXISTING TREES	1.00	L/S	1500.00	1,500
REMOVE EXISTING HEDGE CLUSTERS	120.00	LF	5.00	600
REMOVE EX.TREES 40" CAL X 35' HT	1.00	EA	1000.00	1,000
REMOVE EX.TREES 30" CAL X 30' HT.		EA	800.00	4,000
REMOVE EX.TREES 24" CAL X 30' HT		EA	600.00	1,800
REMOVE EX.TREES 18" CAL X 30' HT		EA	500.00	500
REMOVE EX.TREES 12" CAL X 30' HT		EA	350.00	350
REMOVE EX.TREES 24" CAL X 25' HT	4.00	EA	450.00	1,800
REMOVE EX.TREES 12" CAL X 25' HT		EA	400.00	7,200
REMOVE EX.TREES 12" CAL X 20' HT		EA	350.00	350
REMOVE EX.TREES 6" CAL X 20' HT	3.00	EA	200.00	600
REMOVE EX.TREES 24" CAL X 15' HT	3.00	EA	200.00	600
REMOVE EX.TREES 8" CAL X 10' HT	1.00	EA	100.00	100
REMOVE EX.TREES 6" CAL X 5' HT	2.00		100.00	200
REMOVE MULTI-TRUNK PALMS 25'/30'	3.00		250.00	750
REMOVE MULTI-TRUNK PALMS 15'/20'	19.00		150.00	2,850
REMOVE EXISTING TREES STUMPS	29.00		75.00	2,175
HAUL-OFF DEBRIS TO DUMP + FEES	10000		25.00	250,000

Eff. Date 12/15/06 PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE

DETAILED ESTIMATE

PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT

010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

SITE DEMOLITION			QUANTY UOM	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
	TOTAL	CTTE DENO TITON	1 00 1/6	445079 50	445 074
	IOIAL	. SITE DEMOLITION	1.00 L/S	446973.50	446,974
				, l	D
SITE IMPROVEMENTS					T
GENERAL FINISH GRADING					
The second secon		GENERAL GRADING / FINE GRADING	30300 SY	3.50	106,050
	TOTAL	GENERAL FINISH GRADING	324000 SF	0.33	106,050
PARKING LOTS PAVING					Y 2
LVINCTUG COLD LANTING		PARKING LOT ASPHALT PAVING 1 1/2	3670,00 SY	5.00	18,350
		CRUSHED STONE 8" THICK BASE	3670.00 SY	12.00	44,040
		COMPACTED SUB-BASE	4000.00 SY	1.50	6,000
		CONCRETE CURBS	2020,00 LF	15.00	30,300
		24" WIDE WHITE STOP BAR		1.50	66
		24" X 6' LG CROSSWALK STRIPPING		1.20	168
		STRIPING PARKING STALLS- STD		12.00	876
		STRIPING PARKING STALLS- HC	8.00 EA	75.00	600
		HANDICAPPED LOGO	9.00 EA	50.00	450
		HANDICAPPED PARKING SIGN	9.00 EA	150.00	1,350
		MISC. STRIPING: ARROWS, ETC.	1.00 L/S	1000.00	1,000
		MISC. TRAFFIC SIGNS, ETC.	1.00 L/S	2500.00	2,500
		WHEELSTOPS (PRECAST)	82.00 EA 100.00 LF	45.00 35.00	3,690
		TIE INTO EXISTING ROAD (4 LOC)	100.00 LF	33.00	3,500
	TOTAL	PARKING LOTS PAVING	30767 SF	3.67	112,890
y y	101112			2121	9
CONCRETE PAVING					
CONCRETE PAVING		SIDEWALKS 5" THICK INSIDE PARK	24400 SF	5.00	122,000
		SIDEWALKS @ ADJACENT STREETS- 5"		5.00	31,000 -
		CONCRETE PAD @ FPL TRANSFORMER	30.00 SF	10.00	300
		COOLING TOWER SLAB/FOOTING	375.00 SF	10.00	3,750
	TOTAL	CONCRETE PAVING	37595 SF	4.18	157,050
OUTSIDE PARKING					
		TEMPORARY CONCRETE BARRIER PROT.	1.00 L/S	10000.00	10,000
Y	TOTAL	OUTSIDE PARKING	1.00 L/S	10000.00	10,000
	TOTAL	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	1.00 L/S	385990.00	385,990

(THE DESTITA

DETAIL PAGE 2

Fri 15 Dec 2006 Eff, Date 12/15/06 DETAILED ESTIMATE

FAI IHFUL + GUULU

SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE PROJECT 965-03: PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT

010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

DETAIL PAGE 3

QUANTY UOM UNIT COST TOTAL COST LANDSCAPING 1.00 0.00 TREES: 9,000 GREEN BUTTONWOOD (CE1) 12' H 36.00 EA 250.00 10,250 RED MAPLE (AR) B&B FG 12' HT 41.00 EA 250.00 3.00 EA 500.00 1,500 ROYAL POINCIANA 16'HT B&B FG 11,700 MAHOGANY (SM) B&B FG 14' HT. 300.00 39.00 EA 450,00 25,650 57.00 EA LIVE OAK (QV) 14' HT B&B FG 0 0.00 1.00 PALMS: 5,600 8.00 EA 700.00 ARECA PALM (CL) 14/16' OA HT 450.00 13,050 ALEXANDER PALM (PE) 14/16' 0A 29.00 EA 0.00 0 1.00 SHRUBS: 1451,00 EA 10.00 14,510 RED TIP COCOPLUM 3-GALLONS 10.00 3,220 PODOCARPUS 3-GALLONS 322.00 EA 84.00 EA 12.00 1,008 WILD COFFEE 3-GALLONS DWF VARIEGATED SCHEFFLERA 3-G 1804.00 EA 18,040 10.00 0.00 0 GROUNDCOVER: 1,00 5,904 AZTEC GOLD DAYLILY 1-GALLON 984.00 EA 6.00 2796.00 EA 6.00 16,776 BOSTON FERN 1-GALLON 0.00 1.00 SODDING: 0.45 16,155 35900 SF BERMUDA SOD 0.45 48,600 108000 SF ST AUGISTINE "FLORATAM" 15.00 11,400 GRADING TO MATCH NEW CONTOURS 760.00 CY TOPSOIL (FLORATAM & BERMUDA) - 6" 2700.00 CY 24.00 64,800 29.00 7,250 250.00 CY PLANTING SOIL + FERTILIZER 0.45 9,540 21200 SF MULCHING @ SHRUB/GROUNDCOVER 0.45 2,250 5000.00 SF MULCHING @ TREES - ALLOW 40.00 7.040 176.00 EA GUYING NEW TREES 300,00 CY 19.00 5,700 FILL-SOIL FOR BERMS 6,00 MOS 1500.00 9,000 WATERING AND MAINTENANCE DISPOSAL OF DEBRIS AND CLEAN-UP 1.00 L/S 5000.00 5,000 322943.01 1.00 L/S TOTAL LANDSCAPING IRRIGATION 0.75 143,325 191100 SF IRRIGATION ALLOWANCE 143325.00 143,325 1.00 L/S TOTAL IRRIGATION HARDSCAPING - ALLOWANCE 10.00 COLORED CONCRETE PAVERS ON SAND 4800.00 SF 48,000 48000.00 48,000 1.00 L/S TOTAL HARDSCAPING - ALLOWANCE

Fr1 15 DEC 2006 FAT IHEAL + GUULD PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE Eff. Date 12/15/06

DETAILED ESTIMATE

PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE - DRAFT

010, FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

MOU YTRAUD UNIT COST PARK ELECTRICAL RESTORATION PARK ELECTRICAL RESTORATION BASEBALL PARK ILUMINATION 4.00 EA 6000.00 24,000 LUMINAIRE TYPE P1 4.00 EA 1500.00 6,000 POLE BASE 1.00 D 1000.00 1,000 CRANE 1160.00 LF 5.09 5,902 1" PVC CONDUIT 2.92 MLF 1092.88 3,191 NO 6-TYPE THHN 850.00 LF 1.50 1,275 TRENCHING 41367.71 TOTAL BASEBALL PARK ILUMINATION 1.00 ACR 41,368 BASKETBALL COURT ILUMINATION 4.00 EA 2750.00 11,000 LUMINAIRE TYPE P2 4.00 EA 750.00 3,000 POLE BASE 1000,00 1.00 D 1,000 CRANE 3/4" PVC CONDUIT 280.00 LF 4.23 1,185 NO 10-TYPE THHN 0.96 MLF 503.18 483 250.00 LF 375 1.50 TRENCHING TOTAL BASKETBALL COURT ILUMINATION 1.00 ACR 17043.15 17,043 VOLLEYBALL COURT ILUMINATION 4.00 EA 2750.00 11,000 LUMINAIRE TYPE P2 4.00 EA 750.00 3,000 POLE BASE 1000.00 CRANE 1.00 D 1,000 3/4" PVC CONDUIT 280.00 LF 4.23 1,185 0.96 MLF 503.18 483 NO 10-TYPE THHN 250.00 LF 1.50 375 TRENCHING 1.00 ACR 17043.15 TOTAL VOLLEYBALL COURT ILUMINATION 17.043 PARKING ILUMINATION 4,00 EA 2500.00 10,000 LUMINAIRE TYPE S 4.00 EA 500.00 2,000 POLE BASE 1000.00 1.00 D 1,000 CRANE 1" PVC CONDUIT 660.00 LF 5.09 3,358 2.22 MLF 1092.88 2,426 NO 6-TYPE THHN 650.00 LF 975 TRENCHING 1.00 ACR 19758.94 19,759 TOTAL PARKING ILUMINATION PARK RESTORATION 97.00 EA 1964.77 190,583 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE M 2.00 EA 2494.86 4,990 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE Q 2494.86 2.00 EA 4,990 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE R 1.00 EA 3183.86 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE T 3,184

1111 00.01.10

DETAIL PAGE

Fri 15 Dec 2006 Eff. Date 12/15/06 DETAILED ESTIMATE WILLAL A MACEN

PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT 010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

DETAIL PAGE 5

QUANTY UOM UNIT COST TOTAL COST PARK ELECTRICAL RESTORATION 4.00 EA 2,689 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE U 672.34 8.00 EA 1129.08 9,033 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE V 30.00 EA 599.01 17,970 LIGHTING FIXTURE TYPE AA 97.00 EA 350.00 33,950 POLE BASE TYPE M 5.00 EA 2,500 POLE BASE TYPE Q.R.T. 500.00 1000.00 1,000 1.00 D CRANE 3/4" PVC CONDUIT 4350.00 LF 4.23 18,419 660.00 LF 5.09 3,358 1" PVC CONDUIT 7.38 MLF 364.37 2,689 NO 12-TYPE THHN 8.00 MLF 800.77 6,406 NO 8-TYPE THHN 4200.00 LF 1.50 6,300 TRENCHING 1.00 ACR 308059.84 308,060 TOTAL PARK RESTORATION 1.00 L/S 403272.80 403,273 TOTAL PARK ELECTRICAL RESTORATION FENCING CHAINLINK AROUND FPL TRANSFORMER 35.00 LF 18,00 630 1.00 PR 1500.00 1,500 GATE @ FPL TRANSFORMER- DBL CHAIN-LINK FENCE TENNIS TYPE: 1.00 0.00 625.00 LF 25.00 15,625 STREET SIDE (ANDREWS AVE) INTERIOR AS SHOWN 1055.00 LF 25.00 26,375 1.00 L/S 44130,00 44,130 TOTAL FENCING **PLANTERS** CONCRETE PLANTERS/SEATING WALLS 17.00 EA 750,00 12,750 1.00 L/S 12,750 12750.00 TOTAL PLANTERS **ENCLOSURES** 75.00 LF 50.00 3,750 COOLING TOWER ENCLOSURE 1.00 PR 2000.00 2,000 COOLING TOWER ACCESS GATE- DBL REPAIR TO EXSTG DECORATIVE WALL 245.00 LF 100.00 24,500 1.00 L/S 30250.00 30,250 TOTAL ENCLOSURES PLAYGROUND STRUCTURES PLAYGROUND STRUCTURES "ALPHA" PLAYGROUND STRUCTURE 121,000 1.00 L/S 121000.00 1.00 L/S 38300.00 38,300 "BETA" PLAYGROUND STRUCTURE 1.00 L/S 125000.00 125,000 "GAMMA" PLAYGROUND STRUCTURE TOTAL PLAYGROUND STRUCTURES 1.00 L/S 284300.00



*** ** DCC FOOD INTILLAR . HAREA Eff. Date 12/15/06 PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE DETAILED ESTIMATE

PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT 010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

1 TIM 02 102 120

DETAIL PAGE 6

Layground structures		QUANTY UOM	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
✓ PLAYGROUND SYNTHET, PLAY SURFACE				
? PLATGROUND STNINET, PLAT SUKFACE	SAFETY SYNTHETIC PLAY SURFACE	27485 SF	15.00	412,275
	TOTAL PLAYGROUND SYNTHET. PLAY SURFACE	1.00 L/S	412275.00	412,275
				P
/ PLAYGROUND SHADE TENSION STRUCT.	PLAYGROUND'S TENSION STRUCTURE	10000 SF	18.00	180,000
	TOTAL PLAYGROUND SHADE TENSION STRUCT.	1.00 L/S	180000.00	(180,000)
				P
/SMALL BERM WITH GRASS	SMALL BERM AT S.E. SITE CORNER	900.00 SF	7.00	6,300
	TOTAL SMALL BERM WITH GRASS	1.00 L/S	6300.00	6,300
	TOTAL STREET DESCRIPTION OF THE STREET		3330.00	P
/ SWING SETS		4 (21)	2000	
	3 BAY SWING SET 2 BAY SWING SET	1.00 SET 1.00 SET	3000,00 2000,00	3,000 2,000
	TOTAL SWING SETS	2.00 SET	2500.00	5,000
	TOTAL PLAYGROUND STRUCTURES	1.00 L/S	887875.00	₩ SE 887,875 ×
AMPHITHEATER SPECIAL BERM				
	BERMS WITH SPECIAL GRASS	4000.00 SF	7.00	28,000
	TOTAL AMPHITHEATER SPECIAL BERM	1.00 L/S	28000.00	28,000 y
SITE BUILT-IN FURNISHINGS				5
. SITE BUILT-IN FURNISHINGS	PICNIC WOOD TABLES WITH BENCHES	6.00 EA	800.00	4,800
	TREATED WOOD PLANKS BENCHES 8'LG		1050.00	5,250
	BARBECUE GRILLES, CHARCOAL TYPE	6.00 EA	250.00	1,500
	WASTE RECEPTACLES, 36 GALLONS EA WARNING SIGNS	7.00 EA 1.00 L/S	550.00 500.00	3,850 500
	TOTAL SITE BUILT-IN FURNISHINGS	1.00 L/S	15900.00	(15,900)X
				0
SPORT COURTS			n S	£ 6
NEW BASEBALL/MULTI-PURPOSE FIELD				\$ 1 5 T = 0 10 X
	BASEBALL BACKSTOP 12' HT	1.00 EA	15000.00	15,000
÷	BASES MARKED WITH CHALK	1.00 L/S	2000.00	2,000
	GRADING TO PLAYING REQUIREMENTS		3.50	17,500
	BERMUDA SODDING- SEE LANDSCAPING	1.00 INC	0.00	0
				EX B
				C 1. 2

Fri 15 Dec 2006

DETAILED ESTIMATE

FAITHFUL + GUULU

Eff. Date 12/15/06 PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE

PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT 010, FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

DETAIL PAGE 7

TITIL UD. DI. TU

		UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	
2012094000000000000000000000000000000000				
TOTAL NEW BASEBALL/MULTI-PURPOSE FIELD	1.00 L/S	34500.00	34,500	V
NET POSTS 3 1/2"D W/PULLEY/REEL	1.00 PR	800.00	800	
	1.00 EA	700,00	700	
	320.00 SY	5.00	1,600	
	110.00 CY	30.00	3,300	
	1.00 L/S	1500.00	1,500	
SHIP DED SOM THE IST.			*********	
TOTAL NEW VOLLEY-BALL COURT	1.00 EA	7900.00	7,900	
RUBBER-ACRYLIC RESILIENT PAVING	530.00 SY	45.00	23,850	
BASKETBALL COURT BASE COURSE	530.00 SY	11.00		
	2.00 EA	3000.00	6,000	
	1.00 EA	400.00	400	
	1.00 N/A	0.00	0	

TOTAL NEW BASKETBALL COURTS	1.00 EA	36080.00	36,080	
RAQUECTBALL COURT PAVING	6000.00 SF	6.00		
RAQUECTBALL WALLS	270.00 LF	100.00		
PAINTING	1.00 L/S	2000,00	2,000	
TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS	4.00 EA	16250.00	65,000	1
	02 OV	10.50	00.400	
REMOVE/REINSTALL/STORE NETS	4.00 EA	125.00	500	
TOTAL EXISTING TENNIS COURTS PAINTING	4.00 EA	8025,00	32,100	
CINICILLANDECADE HADAVED ADEA.	1 00	0.00	0	'n.
		2.50		

TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL/PLANTER AREA	14300 SF	2,50	35,750	ı
TOTAL SPORT COURTS	1.00 L/S	211330.00	211,330)
			Ex.	E
			- T. T. T.	3
	NET POSTS 3 1/2"D W/PULLEY/REEL NET, NYLON THREAD WITH BINDER GRADING TO PLAYING REQUIREMENTS CLEAN AND GRADED SAND BED SAND BED CONFINEMENT TOTAL NEW VOLLEY-BALL COURT RUBBER-ACRYLIC RESILIENT PAVING BASKETBALL COURT BASE COURSE BASKETBALL BACKSTOPS STL POST MT BASKETBALL PAINT MARKINGS B'BALL COURT FENCING- NOT INCLD TOTAL NEW BASKETBALL COURTS RAQUECTBALL COURTS TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS TENNIS COURTS COLOR SEALER 3 CTS TENNIS COURTS PAINT MARKINGS FIXING MINOR REPAIRS (ALLOWANCE) REMOVE/REINSTALL/STORE NETS TOTAL EXISTING TENNIS COURTS PAINTING FINISH/LANDSCAPE UNPAVED AREAALLOWANCE TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL/PLANTER AREA	NET POSTS 3 1/2"D W/PULLEY/REEL NET, NYLON THREAD WITH BINDER SET, NYLON THREAD WITH BINDER GRADING TO PLAYING REQUIREMENTS CLEAN AND GRADED SAND BED CLEAN AND GRADED SAND BED SAND BED CONFINEMENT TOTAL NEW VOLLEY-BALL COURT RUBBER-ACRYLIC RESILIENT PAVING BASKETBALL COURT BASKETBALL COURT BASKETBALL COURT BASKETBALL COURT BASKETBALL PAINT MARKINGS B'BALL COURT FENCING-NOT INCLD TOTAL NEW BASKETBALL COURTS RAQUECTBALL COURT PAVING RAQUECTBALL COURT PAVING RAQUECTBALL COURT TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS TENNIS COURTS COLOR SEALER 3 CTS 2800.00 SY TENNIS COURTS PAINT MARKINGS FIXING MINOR REPAIRS (ALLOWANCE) FIXING MINOR REPAIRS (ALLOWANCE) FIXING TENNIS COURTS PAINTING TOTAL EXISTING TENNIS COURTS PAINTING TOTAL EXISTING TENNIS COURTS PAINTING FINISH/LANDSCAPE UNPAVED AREA- ALLOWANCE ALLOWANCE 14300 SF	NET POSTS 3 1/2"D W/PULLEY/REEL NET, NYLON THREAD WITH BINDER NET, NYLON THREAD WITH BINDER READING TO PLAYING REQUIREMENTS CLEAN AND GRADED SAND BED CLEAN AND GRADED SAND BED CLEAN AND GRADED SAND BED TOTAL NEW VOLLEY-BALL COURT TOTAL NEW VOLLEY-BALL COURT RUBBER-ACRYLIC RESILIENT PAVING BASKETBALL COURT BASE COURSE BASKETBALL COURT BASE COURSE BASKETBALL PAINT MARKINGS B'BALL COURT FENCING- NOT INCLD TOTAL NEW BASKETBALL COURTS RAQUECTBALL COURT PAVING RAQUECTBALL COURT PAVING RAQUECTBALL COURT PAVING RAQUECTBALL WALLS PAINTING TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS TENNIS COURTS PAINT MARKINGS TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL COURTS TOTAL SEALER 3 CTS 2800.00 SY TENNIS COURTS PAINT MARKINGS TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL/STORE NETS TOTAL EXISTING TENNIS COURTS PAINTING TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL/PLANTER AREA TOTAL NEW RACQUETBALL/PLANTER AREA	NET POSTS 3 1/2*D W/PULLEY/REEL

Eff. Date 12/15/06 PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE

DETAILED ESTIMATE PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT
010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

DETAIL PAGE

ISHED LAWN BOWLING FIELD		QUANTY UOM	UNIT COST	TOTAL COS
	A STATE OF THE STA			
REFURBISHED LAWN BOWLING FIELD	RE-GRADING TO MATCH REQUIREMENTS	1600.00 SY	5.00	8,00
	TOTAL REFURBISHED LAWN BOWLING FIELD	14400 SF	0.56	8,00
SITE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES				
NEW DRAINAGE SYSTEM				
	NEW 24" DIA STORM DRAINAGE PIPE	115.00 LF	45.00	5,1
	NEW 18" DIA STORM DRAINAGE PIPE	608.00 LF	35.00	21,28
	NEW 12" DIA STORM DRAINAGE PIPE	475.00 LF	26,00	12,39
	NEW 6" DIA RAIN LEADER PIPE	130.00 LF	15.00	1,9
	NEW CATCH BASINS	22.00 EA	2500.00	55,00
	NEW STORM MANHOLES	3.00 EA	4000.00	12,00
	NEW YARD DRAINS	10.00 EA	800.00	8,00
	NEW EXFILTRATION FRENCH DRAIN	1585.00 LF	75.00	118,87
	NEW 24" DIA PERF DRAINAGE PIPE	1585.00 LF	26.00	41,23
	TRENCH EXCAVATION	3550.00 CY	8.00	28,40
	SHORING @ FRENCH DRAINS (10'D)	15850 SF	4.00	63,40
	HAUL EXCESS EXCAV MATERIAL	3550,00 CY	8.00	28,40
	CORE DRILL EXISTING STRUCTURES	2.00 LOC	500.00	1,00
	TIE INTO EXISTING STRUCTURES	2.00 LOC	2500.00	5,00
	REPAIR TO EXISTING ROAD	2.00 L/S	2000.00	4,00
	DEWATERING ALLOWANCE	1.00 L/S	10000.00	10.00
	TOTAL NEW DRAINAGE SYSTEM	2913.00 LF	142.82	416,04
NEW DOMESTIC WATER DISTRIBUTION	Salaran Salaran			
(DUCTILE IRON PIPE- MECHANI		75 00 15	00.00	0.17
	WATER MAIN- 3"	75.00 LF	28.00 29.00	2,10 8,8
	WATER MAIN- 4"	305.00 LF	1,500,100,000	
	WATER MAIN- 6"	465.00 LF 95.00 LF	34.00 39.00	15,81 3,70
	WATER MAIN- 8"	1.00 EA	5700.00	5,70
	WATER METER- 6"	1.00 EA	3950.00	3,9
	WATER METER- 4" WATER METER- 1 1/2"	1.00 EA	430.00	43
	GATE VALVE- 3"	1.00 EA	950.00	95
	GATE VALVE- 3"	6.00 EA	1025.00	6,19
	GATE VALVE- 6"	4.00 EA	1150.00	4,60
	GATE VALVE- B"	2.00 EA	1225.00	2,45
	REDUCER- 4"X3"	3.00 EA	300.00	90
	REDUCER- 6"X4"	2.00 EA	325.00	65
	REDUCER- 8"X6"	2.00 EA	445.00	89
	BACKFLOW PREVENTOR - 3"	2.00 EA	3150.00	6,30
	BACKFLOW PREVENTOR- 6"	2.00 EA	5850.00	11,70
	DOUBLE DETECTOR CHECK VALVE- 4"		4425.00	4,42
	BEND- 4" (90 DEGREE)	1.00 EA	295.00	29
	BEND- 6" (45 DEGREE)	2.00 EA	365.00	73
	BEND- 6" (90 DEGREE)	1.00 EA	360.00	36
	Table And Anna Company			EX
				11/1
				. //

Fri 15 Dec 2006 Eff. Date 12/15/06 DETAILED ESTIMATE

PROJECT 965-03: SOUTHSIDE SCHL REN/HARDY PRK RED - 701 SOUTH ANDREWS AVENUE PROJECT#10777: 100% OWNER REVIEW ESTIMATE- DRAFT 010. FLORENCE HARDY PARK IMPROVEMENT

DETAIL PAGE 9

UNIT COST QUANTY UOM SITE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES 1100.00 1,100 1.00 EA CROSS- 8" X 6" 480 120.00 4.00 EA CAP-3" 450 150,00 3.00 EA CAP-4" 9,000 2.00 EA 4500.00 FIRE HYDRANT- 6" 450.00 450 1.00 EA TEE- 4" 525 525.00 1,00 EA TEE- 6"X3" 1,575 525.00 3.00 EA TEE- 6"X4" 675 675.00 1,00 EA TEE- 8"X4" 1,400 175.00 8.00 EA THRUST BLOCK 1,450 725,00 2.00 EA TAPPING GATE VALVE- 8" 3,300 1650.00 TAP INTO EXST 12" PIPE (8" PIPE) 2.00 EA 3,000 1500.00 2.00 EA TAPING SLEEVE & VALVE - 8" 7,500 300.00 CY 25.00 TRENCH EXCAVATION/BACKFIL 2,500 2500.00 SAW-CUT/REMOVE STR'T PAVING/PROT 1.00 L/S 3500.00 3,500 1.00 L/S BACKFILL/COMPACT/RESTORE PAVING 1500.00 1,500 1.00 L/S MAINTENACE OF TRAFFIC 119,345 126.96 TOTAL NEW DOMESTIC WATER DISTRIBUTION 940.00 LF NEW SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM 15,000 5000.00 3.00 EA NEW SANITARY MANHOLES 14,250 NEW SANITARY SEWER PIPES 8" DIA 570.00 LF 25.00 1000.00 1,000 1.00 EA CONNECT TO EXISTING MANHOLE 7,500 25.00 300.00 CY TRENCH EXCAVATION/BACKFIL 250.00 750 3.00 LOC CAP PIPES 3.00 210 SAW CUT ASPHALT @ EXISTING ROAD 70.00 LF 2,500 2500.00 1.00 L/S REMOVE/REPAIR @ EXISTING ROAD 1500,00 1,500 1.00 L/S MAINTENACE OF TRAFFIC 42,710 74.93 TOTAL NEW SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM 570.00 LF LIFT STATION ??? 150,000 NEW LIFT STATION ????- ALLOWANCE 1.00 EA 150000.00 150,000 1.00 L/S 150000.00 TOTAL LIFT STATION ??? INTERFERE W/EXST UTILITIES 5,000 5000.00 1.00 L/S ALLOWANCE 5,000 1.00 L/S 5000.00 TOTAL INTERFERE W/EXST UTILITIES 733,095 733095.00 1.00 L/S TOTAL SITE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES TENT FUMIGATION OF BUILDINGS 7,500 TERMITE FUMIGATION- HISTORIC BLG 1.00 EA 7500.00 3500.00 3,500 TERMITE FUMIGATION - NEW OFFICE 1.00 EA

City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida Summary of South Side School Project Spent and Encumbered Funds Applicable to School, Playground, and Park As of 07-12-12

91,720.00 91,720.00 91,720.00 92,720.00 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,860.75 93,720.00 93,720.00 93,720.00 93,720.00 94,720 94,720 95,720.00 95	39/.7W Total 238.158 555,703 793,861 793,861 774,225 256,703 793,861 793,861 774,225 256,703 793,861 774,225 256,703 793,861 774,225 704 1323,462 704 1323,462 704 1323,463 704 136,704 1,830 4,270 6,100 7,865,224P/.191W Total 1,830 4,270 6,100 7,9	3P/7W Total	Page Page Amount Page	38/.7W Total 38/.7P Total 38/.7P Total SES/.224P/.191W Total P Total Spent Total Spent Total Spent Total F Total F Total F Total S Total F Total F Total S Total F Tot	Fiscal Year Fiscal Month			Subobject	Vendor Name	Trans Post	Transaction	Transaction Desc	Annitration	Contract Co.			
9,720,00 9,7	38.7P Total 238,168 555,703 793,861 585,724P1,191W Total 774,225 296,456 252,781 1,323,462 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	38/.7P Total 238,158 555,703 793,861 585,703 793,861	38/.7P Total 238,158 555,703 793,861 38.7.7P Total 238,158 555,703 793,861 38.7.7P Total 774,225 296,456 252,781 1,323,462 P Total 2,813,786 2,801,161 2,813,786 2	38/.7P Total 38/.7P Total 38.1/24P/.191W Total P Total S Total Spent Total Spent Total Spent Total Spent Total Spent Total Spent Total F Total F Total S Total F Total S Total F Total S Total S Total S Total S Total S Total A Substitute of Total S Total A Substitute of Total S T		A Q	count	Code		Date	Amount		Application	school (S)	Playground (P)	Park (W)	Sum
93,860.75 93,86	38//P Total 238,188 555,703 793,867 793,867 1 1,323,462 1 1,323,4	38//P Total 238,168 555,703 793,862	38/.7P Total 238,158 555,703 793,867 150,001 1 10,001 1	3S/.7P Total (585S).224P/.191W Total P Total Spent Total Spent Total (585S).224P/.191W Total BSSS.224P/.191W Total F Total A Total F T			+		entertains) in a second control of the secon	The second secon	9,720.00		.3P/.7W Total		2.916	6 804	0 720
2.84.5.27 2.84.76 2.84.72 2.84.72 2.84.76 2.84.76 2.84.72 2.84.76	S85S/.224P/.191W Total 774,225 296,466 262,781 1,323,462	585S/.224P/.191W Total 774,225 296,466 262,781 1,323,462	Sassi.224Pi.191W Total 774,225 296,466 262,781 1,323,462	SesS/224P/.191W Total S Total Spent Total SS./7P Total SS./7P Total SS./7P Total F Total F Total S Total F Total S Total Encumbered Total S Total S Total F Total F Total S Total F To		1					793,860.75	TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PR	.3S/.7P Total	238.158	555 703	1000	703 964
00,161,17 13,786,07 13,786,07 13,786 13,786 13,826,169 15,100,100	S Total 2,813,786 500,161 5,813,786 5,813,786 5,813,786 5,813,786 5,813,786 5,813,786 5,813,786 5,813,781 5,813,7	P Total 1,323,786 2,813	P Total S Total S Total S SS/1786	P Total Spent Total Spent Total SS.//P Total S658/224P//191W Total F T	-		1	1			1,323,462.27		.585S/.224P/.191W Total	774 225	206,000	+	193,000
STOCIAL STOC	S Total Spent Total Specification Specifi	S Total 2,813,766	S Total 2,813,766 3,826,169 (\$ 1,455,236 (\$ 259,585(\$ 6,540,990 3,87.7PT Total 1,830 4,270 6,100 6,100 5,885(\$ 1,455,236 (\$ 259,585(\$ 6,540,990 5,885(\$ 1,455,236 (\$ 1,455,236	S Total Spent Total 3S/7P Total S85S/224P/191W Total F Total S Total Encumbered Total	-	-			The second secon		600,161.17	Constitution and Committee in the Committee of the Commit	P Total		2004.009	+	1,323,462
40,990 Spent GL 440) 6,100.00 6,1	Spent Total 3,826,169 (\$1,456,236 (\$259,585) \$5,540,990 (\$1,456,236 (\$259,585) \$5,540,990 (\$1,658,170 (\$1,0	Spent Total 3,826,169 (\$1,456,236 (\$259,585) \$5,540,990 (\$1,00	Spent Total 3,826,169 3,426,1336 3,285 3,540,390	Spent Total 38/7P Total 5858/224P/191W Total P Total S Total Encumbered Total Encumbered Total (de site purchase. The majority of funds, sh	-						2,813,786.07	B do do a company and a compan	S Total	2842 706	101,000		191,000
6,100.00 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,865S/224P/191W Total 1,953,459 9,7450.134 9,750.134	3SI.7P Total	3SI.7P Total 1,830 4,270 6,100 585SI.224PI.191W Total	3SI.7P Total 1,830 4,270 6,100 585S/224Pi.191W Total 1,953,469 P Total 347,601 - 1,953,469 Encumbered Total 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 2,307,160 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 2,307,160 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,953,469 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,953,469 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,953,469 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,953,469 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,953,469 1,955,489 € 351,871 € 1,953,469 1,955,489 € 351,871 € 1,953,469	3S/.7P Total S85S.224P/.191W Total F Total S Total Encumbered Total In the majority of funds, sh		43.	1	S	spent for Improvements		5,540,990.26		Spent Total	3,826,169	₹1,455,236	259,585	
6.00 9.00 9.00 9.5000 9.500 9.500 9.500 9.500 9.500 9.500 9.500 9.500 9.5000 9.500 9.50	5855/124P/191W Total 1,930 4,270 6,100 F Total 1,953,469 F Total 1,953,469 € 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 € . 2,307,160 F Total 1,955,289 € . 351,871 €	5855/124P/191W Total 1,930 4,270 6,100 P Total 347,601 - 347,601 S Total 1,953,469 € 351,871 € . 2,307,160 1,955,289 € 351,871 € . 2,307,160	5855/124P/191W Total 1,930 4,210 6,100 7 100 1 1,930	446 Encumbered for Improvements 3-37-560-1.4 Protail 1-5-04 3-47-60-1 Protail 1-5-04 Protail 1-5-0							6,100.00		30/70 Total	000 7	-		
47,601.14 9 Total 53,459.31 53,459.31 51,459.31 51,459.31 51,459.31 51,459.34 51,450.34 51	P Total S Total S Total Fig. 347,601 S Total Fig. 347,601 Fig. 347,60	P Total 1,953,469 351,871 2,307,160 347,601	P Total S Tot	1985-869-81 Probat Proba							0.00	The second secon	585S/ 204D/ 194W/ Total	1,830	4,270		6,100
33,459.31 33,459.31 37,601 97,180.45 97,180.45 97,180.45 97,180.45 97,180.45 97,180.45 97,180.45 98,172 1985,289 € 351,871 € . 2,307,160 1986,522 1041 SpenVEncumbered 884,150 884,150 907,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 640,990 540,990 640,9	S Total 1,953,459 547,601 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,953,459 1,971 € 1,955,289 € 351,871 € 1,955,289 € 1	S Total 1,953,459 34,7001 1,953,459 Encumbered Total 1,956,289 ₹ 351,871 ₹ . 2,307,460	S Total 1,953,459	1,953,499 31,914 440 4							347,601.14	And the property of the second	P Total				
07,180.45 07,160.45 618,472 618,473	Encumbered Total 1,956,289 & 351,871 & 2,307,160	Encumbered Total 1,955,289 € 351,871 € . 2,307,160	Encumbered Total 1,955,289 3: 351,871 5 2,307,160 2,307,	440 Encumbered for Improvements 2,307,186,435 Encumbered Total 1,555,789 3 51,871 5 1,501,181 2,307,186,435 1,501,181							1,953,459.31	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	STotal	1 952 459	347,601	Ī	347,601
07,160.45 618,472 468,622 Total Spent/Encumbered 468,622 Total	7,307,460	7,307,460	de site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate	440 Encumbered for Improvements 7,307,160.45 Encumbered for Improvements 7,307,160.45 Encumbered 4,618,472 Encoumbered 4,618,472							2,307,180.45		Enclimbored Total	000,400			~
618,472 466,622 618,472 848,150 307,160 540,990 640,990	431 Site Purchase	431 Site Purchase	431 Site Purchase	431 Site Purchase		44t	0 4	a	incumbered for Improvements		2,307,160.45		Encumbered rotal	1,955,289			-
466,622 466,622 618,472 848,150 307,160 540,990 540,990	431 Site Purchase	431 Site Purchase 4,618,472 Total Spent/Encumbered 4,618,472 Less Site Purchase 4,618,472 Less Site Purchase 7,848,150 Less Site Purchase 7,848,150 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 Spent (GL 441) \$ (GL 441) \$ (GL 442)	431 Site Purchase 4,618,472 Less Site Purchase 4,618,472	431 Site Purchase													
465,622 618,472 848,150 307,160 540,990 540,990	\$ 12,466,622 Total Spent/Encumbered \$ 12,466,622 Total Spent/Encumbered 4,618,472 Less Site Purchase 7,848,150 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431) \$ 5,540,990 Spent (G	\$ 12,466,622 Total Spen/Encumbered \$ 12,466,622 Total Spen/Encumbered \$ 12,466,622 Less Site Purchase \$ 1,661,630 Total Spen \$ 1,661,630	\$ 12,466,622 Total Spent/Encumbered \$ 12,466,622 Total Spent/Encumbered \$ 12,466,622 Total Spent/Encumbered \$ 12,466,622 \$ 1,2466,622 \$ 1,	12,466,622 Total Spen/tencumbered 4,518,472 Less Site Purchase 4,518,472 Less Site Purchase 7,848,450 12,8			431	S	ite Purchase		4,618,472						
618,472 848,150 307,160 540,990 540,990	1,848,160 1,84	4,618,472 Less Site Purchase 7,846,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431) Sp	1848,472 Less Site Purchase 1848,472 Less Site Purchas	1,346,172 Less Site Purchase 1,346,172 Less Site Purchase 1,346,170 Less Site Purchase 1,346,170 Less Site Purchase 1,346,170 Less Site Purchase 1,346,170 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 1,346,170 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 1,346,130 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 1,346,1			-					Spont/Enc.imported					
848,150 307,160 540,990 540,990 (0)	7,848,150 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431) Reconciled Difference S,540,990 Spent (GL 431) State Sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. State School property was divided into three sections for the school property was divided into three sections for the school property was divided into three sections for the school property was divided into three sections for the school property was divided into three sections for the school property was divided into three sections for the school property was divided into three sections for the sc	7,848,150 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431) \$ 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431)	17.848,150 2.307.160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5.540,990 5.540,990 5.540,990 5.540,990 6.540,990 1.540,990	17,346,150 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 Spent (GL 440) 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431) Spent (GL 431)								Site Purchase					
307,160 540,990 540,990 (0)	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	tons: 2,307,160 Less Encumbered (GL 440) 5,540,990 5,540,990 Spent (GL 431)	toons: The Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Gradies to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in this analysis, relates the park in the park in this analysis, relates the price of the park in the park in this analysis, relates the park in the park in this analysis, relates the park in	the Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sight (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side side purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysts allocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.							7.848.150	2000					
540,990	Size School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Size School (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. Size School (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	tions: (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. (B) Reconciled Difference (C) Solutions: (D) Reconciled Difference	tions: th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Syndian (P) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate site and the price of the property of the property of the park in this analysis, relate and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. 15.540,890 Spent (GL 431) 16.016: 15.540,890 Spent (GL 431) 16.540,890 Spent (GL 431) 17.5106 School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. 17.5106 School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. 18.520,010 School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. 19.520,010 School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. 19.520,010 School property was divided into three parks into the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. 19.520,010 School property was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analyst allocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.							-	Encumbered (GL 440)					
540,98	tions: It side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It is a side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Spent (GL 431) Reconciled Difference (D) Reconciled Diff	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. S,540,990 Spent (GL 431) (b) Reconciled Difference th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sground (P) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate site already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate	tions: It solds School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It solds School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It solds School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sold (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this ana standard from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.													
	tions: It Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sol (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sol (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. It is significant to the Southeast side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relates to the head of the park in this analysis, relates to the majority of tunds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relates the park and the park in this analysis, relates the park and the park in this analysis, relates the park and the park in this analysis, relates the park and the park and	tions: th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sol (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this anal sts allocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.			1			1	5,540,990 Spei	nt (GL 431)					
tons: th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation.	tions: th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. ool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	tions: th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. ool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	tions: th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. ool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate sts allocated from the principal P. Heisenbard from the principal P.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sol (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park and includes the playground and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this ana standocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.	1							onciled Difference					
th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Tool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. Ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. The Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. The Side School Side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillon, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. In Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. In Side School property was divided into three sections for the park in this analysis, relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. In Side Structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. In Side Structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. In Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. In Side School property was divided into the purpose of this cost allocation. In Side School property was divided into the purpose of this cost allocation. In Side School property was divided into the purpose of this cost allocation and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. In this analysis of the West side of Hardy Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analyst allocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.													
th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. ool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. ool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. Sol (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate sts almosted from the principal P. Heisenburthe contracts.	th Side School property was divided into three sections for the purpose of this cost allocation. ool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. ground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this ana stallocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.	tions:												
	hool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. syground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	hool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. Inground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms.	hool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. Institute, a pavillion, and restrooms. Institute, a pavillion, and restrooms. Institute, a pavillion, and restrooms. Institute, and the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, related the park is the park of the park of the park.	hool (S) relates to the Northeast side of Hardy Park. syground (P) relates to the Southeast side of Hardy Park and includes the playground, the shade structure, a pavillion, and restrooms. rk (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analocated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.	uth Side	School prope	erty was	divided into	three sections for the purpor	se of this cost all	ocation.						
		AL MAN ASSESSMENT AND AND ASSESSMENT ASSESSM	rk (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analysis, relate	rk (W) relates to the West side of Hardy Park. The Park side was already owned and maintained by the City prior to the 2004 South Side site purchase. The majority of funds, shown as spent on the park, in this analosated from the original RJ Heisenbottle contract.	yground	(P) relates to	o the So	utheast side	of Hardy Park and includes	the playground,	the shade structure, a	pavillion, and restrooms.					

Using a December 2006 project estimate as prepared by Engineers, Faithful + Gould, the City Architect determined which costs as listed applied to the three sections of the project (S, P or W). These assignments of cost estimates were then used by CAO to determine the percentage of cost applicable to the three sections. The result of this calculation was used mainly to allocate the \$640,000 original RJ Heisenbottle contract as well as Internal Engineering costs. Approach:
The City Architect analyzed the spent and encumbered cost from the financial system as provided by CAO (see detail attached) to determine which area (S, P or W) or % of which areas the cost applied.

Budget 1,840,185 500,000 150,000 78,375 2,350,000 85,000 5,405,907 5,405,907 10,883,549 13,343,549 (7,937,642) 2,350,000 Description
STATE DCA-FLA COMM
STATE-DEPT OF STAT
BROWARD COLUNTY CHI
BROWARD COLUNTY-CHI
BROWARD COLUNTY-C
OV- BOUNDIESS PLA
OTHER CONTRIBUTION
GENERAL CAPITAL PR
RECHINE TOTAL
OTHER PROF SEW
FORCE ACCOUNT CHAR
LAND ACQUISTION
STEE IMPROVEMENTS
SURVEY/APPRAISAL
BUILDING ACQUISTION
STEE IMPROVEMENTS
SURVEY/APPRAISAL
BUILDING ACQUISTION
STEE IMPROVEMENTS
SURVEY/APPRAISAL
BUILDING ACQUISTION
LEASES & REVAITALS
PREMAIT COSTS
CONSTRUCTION
LEASES & REVIEWES
CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION
DESC Revenue less Expenditures

(37,337) (15,000) (32,587) (2,128,472) (44,007) (108,720) (1,081,23) (18,690) (10,835) (18,394) (10,334) (18,394) (10,335) (10,334) (10,334) (10,334) (10,334) (10,334) (10,334) (10,334) (10,334)

90,814

7,290

6,680

1,940,185 500,000 150,000 150,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 16,

2,202,276 2,307,160 (2,307,160)

(37,337)

Encumbered

SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-PURCHASE &

FamiG200 - Project Summary Inquiry
Balance Type (Y.M.Q.A): A
Fiscal Month/Year: 10 2012 JULY 2012
Project: P10777

Familozoo - Project Summary Inquiry
Balance Type (Y,M,Q,A): A
Fiscal Month/Year: 10 2012 JULY 2012
SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL

(appear	neaching	Jagong	Actual	Encumbered	Balance
C597	DHS/FEMA HURRICANE		56,267		56.267
	REVENUE TOTAL		58,287		56,267
6599	CONSTRUCTION	279,660	151,970		127,690
	在一大事 一大一工	279,680	151,970		1000年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
	REVENUE LESS EXPE	(279,860)	(85,703)		183,856
Combined Actual	Combined Encumbered	Combined Remaining Balance			
Combined Projects 10777 and 16008		Combined Budget	Combined Actual	Combined Encumbered	Combined Actual Combined Encumbered Combined Remaining Balance
	Revenue	5,405,907	5,424,837		18.930
	The second second	13,623,209	10,159,462	2,307,160	
	Revenue less Expenditures	(8,217,302)	(4,734,625)	(2,307,160)	1,175,516

A - Project 10777 Includes \$800,000 Commission Mtg 06-05-11 approved funds from fund 343 Spec Ob Bond Funds B - Budgeted funds remaining for project 16008 C - Combined South Side Project funds remaining July/2012

Funding Approved at 06-05-12 Commission Mtg 228,896 p3,E \$ 127,690 B 356,586 \$ Project Remaining Balance Prior to 06-05-12 S Project# 10777 16008

Exhibit D

1,028,896 A 127,690 B 1,156,586 C

800,000 p2,D

800,000

Project Remaining Balance June/2012

Exhibit D

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SYSTEM

FAML6501 Account Detail Inquiry By Project 07/24/2012 3:30 PM

	al Mo/Yr:		09	2012 J	UNE 2012	Funding Pd:		Currency Code:		
Ind	ex:									
Sub	obj:	6599	CC	INSTRUCT.	ION	Usercd :	1	10 -		
Pro	j:	P10777	SC	OUTH SIDE	SCHOOL-PU	Pjdtl :	i de la compania			
Gra	nt:					Gr Dtl :	34.00			
G/L	4	420	1 -			T/C:	4			
Clas	55:					Ft/F/Sf:				
Org	:		200000	i die tare providen						
S	Post Date		T/C	Documen	t	Document Ref	Chec	k No	Amount	
					DESCF	RIPTION	V	endor		
0	07/10/201	2	035	JE120128	8 04				800,000.00	D
	CC MTG (06/05/12	CR-07	EX 15 TRA	NS FR FD343 B	AL				

G014 - RECORD FOUND

Exhibit D

I . . . WITHUM I W. . W

Faml6200 - Project Summary Inquiry Balance Type (Y,M,Q,A): A

Fiscal Month/Year: 08 2012 MAY 2012

Project: P10777 Project Detail:

Character: Object:

Ft/Fund/Subfnd: Organization:

Funding Period: Currency Code:
Project End Date: 01/01/2999

SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-PURCHASE & RESTORATION

Subobj	Description	Budget	Actual	Encumbered	Balance
D391	STATE DCA-FLA COMM	1940195.00	1940195.00		
D714	STATE-DEPT OF STAT	500000.00	5000000.00		
F210	BROWARD COUNTY CHI	150000.00	150000.00		
F213	BROWARD COUNTY-HIS	78375.00	78375.00		
F733	BROWARD COUNTY - C	2350000.00	2350000.00		
F737	CV - BOUNDLESS PLA	85000.00	85000.00		
N451	OTHER CONTRIBUTION	52337.00	15000.00		-37337.00
Q331	GENERAL CAPITAL PR	250000.00	250000.00		
	REVENUE TOTAL	5405907.00	5368570.00		-37337.00
3199	OTHER PROF SERV		15000.00		-15000.00
6501	FORCE ACCOUNT CHAR		332597.00		-332597.00
6504	LAND ACQUISITION	2350000.00	4478472.00		-2128472.00
6510	SITE IMPROVEMENTS		36338.00		-36338.00
6514	SURVEY/APPRAISAL F		38160.00	6680.00	-44840.00
6520	BUILDING ACQUISTIO		140000.00		-140000.00
6530	ARCHITECTURAL FEES		9720.00		-9720.00
6534	ENGINEERING FEES		1007208.00	90914.00	-1098123.00
6546	TESTING SERVICES		14807.00		-14807.00
6550	ADMINISTRATION		18698.00		-18698.00
6551	LEASES & RENTALS		3545.00	7290.00	-10835.00
6554	PERMIT COSTS		6142.00		-6142.00
6564	EQUIPMENT PURCHASE		233926.00		-233926.00
6599	CONSTRUCTION	A 10193549.00	3672879.00	2202276.00	4318394.00
	EXPENDITURE TOTAL	12543549.00	12543549.00 10007492.00	2307160.00	228896.00
	REVENUE LESS EXPE	-7137642.00	-7137642.00 -4638922.00	-2307160 00	191559 00

EXPUBIT D

Exhibit D 3/10

B-Polar to

Gentle . The million approved of \$200,000 worth surviva

FAMKSIU <i>1</i> FAMIS UPDATE NO *********	*	OO : 4**********************************	*	OF FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS STAPPED BY FUND ALL PERIOD : 09 2012 JUNE	CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SYSTEM PROJECT STATUS BY FUND FISCAL PERIOD: 09 2012 JUNE 2012	RUN RUN PAGE	**************************************
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	: 10 : 129 : 01	SPECIAI MISC FE MISC FE	EXC	GRANTS	***	****************	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		CUR YR EST REV CUR YR BUDGET	ALL YRS EST REV ALL YRS BUDGET	CUR YR REVENUE	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT :	P10448	6 ST/SISTRUNK STREETSCAPE	ETSCAPE & ENHANCEMENTS	TIS			
BEGIN DATE :	08/14/2002	00.	4,428,407.00	-164,420.37 1,296,354.94	2,099,741.84 3,560,517.15	867,889.93	-2,328,665.16
PROJECT :	: P10737	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT	AT SE 15TH AVE				
BEGIN DATE : END DATE :	02/02/2004 01/01/2999	000	4,742,197.05	00.	43,462.05	1,837.84	-4,698,735.00 4,696,073.43
PROJECT :	P10742	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	AT HARBORAGE PKWY				
BEGIN DATE :	02/02/2004	000.	2,116,936.37	577,438.12	1,056,802.67	67,967.38	-1,060,133.70 692,109.66
PROJECT :	P10774	MEDIAN/ROAD LANDSCAPING	APING & IRRIGATION				
BEGIN DATE : END DATE :	02/23/2004	000	209,298.99	00.	209,298.99	00.	00.
PROJECT :	P10777	SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-PURCHASE	PURCHASE & RESTORATION	NO			
BEGIN DATE :	01/21/2004	-25,000.00	1,078,375.00	00.	1,078,375.00	.14	.00 16 NA
PROJECT :	P10796	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	. AT E LAS OLAS BLVD				
BEGIN DATE : END DATE :	02/03/2004	000.	82,978.61 82,978.61	00,	82,978.61 110,180.95	4,701.13	.00
PROJECT :	P10904	SAILBOAT BEND PRESERV	ERVE PROJECT				
BEGIN DATE :	: 12/21/2004 : 01/01/2999	00.	1,025,800.00	.00	963,411.15 980,652.15	2,250.00	-62,388.85

FAMESIO7 FAMIS UPDATE	b ************************************	FAMIS UPDATE NO : 4119 *********************	CITY OF FISCAL ******	FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SY ROJECT STATUS BY FUND PERIOD : 09 2012 JUNE	CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SYSTEM PROJECT STATUS BY FUND FISCAL PERIOD: 09 2012	RUN RUN PAGE	RUN DATE: 07/12/2012 RUN TIME: 10:48 PM PAGE NUM: 54
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	: 30 : 328 : 01	CAPITAL PI FIFC LOAN FIFC LOAN		FUND 2002	****	***********************	***
		CUR YR EST REV CUR YR BUDGET	ALL YRS EST REV ALL YRS BUDGET	CUR YR EXPEND	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT	: P00001	NOT PROJECT RELATED					
BEGIN DATE :	: 09/03/1984	00.	.00	2,729.03	10,371,280.91	00.	10,371,280.91
PROJECT	: P00375	ANNUAL AUDIT FEE				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
BEGIN DATE : END DATE :	: 04/20/1992	000	1,761.00	00.	1,761.00	00.	00.
PROJECT :	: P00377	BOND ISSUE EXPENSES					
BEGIN DATE : END DATE :	: 12/19/1995	000	.00	00.	.000	00.	00.
PROJECT :	: P10257	RIVERSIDE PARK IMPR	COVEMENTS				
BEGIN DATE :	: 04/18/2000	000	.00	00.	115,305.00	00.	00.
PROJECT :	: P10351	FORT LAUDERDALE STA	NDIUM ROOF REPLACEMENT	INS			
BEGIN DATE :	: 09/17/2002	000	33,072.46	00.	33,072.46	00.	00.
PROJECT :	: P10423	HOLIDAY PARK WAR MEMORIAL RENOVATION	MORIAL RENOVATION				
BEGIN DATE :	: 11/20/2001	000.	2,508,319.83	00.	2,508,319.83	00.	00.
PROJECT	: P10777	SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-P	URCHASE & RESTORATION	CON			
BEGIN DATE :	: 01/21/2004	00.	00.	00.	00.	6	00.

FAMIS UPDATE	**************************************	41.19	FISCAL PERIOD : 09 201:	AUDERDALE FAMIS SY STATUS BY FUND : 09 2012 JUNE	SYSTEM IE 2012	FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SYSTEM PROJECT STATUS BY FUND RUN TIME: 10:48 PM PERIOD: 09 2012 JUNE 2012 63	RUN DATE: 07/12/2012 RUN TIME: 10:48 PM PAGE NUM: 63
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	1 31	CAPITAL GENERAL GENERAL	PROJECTS CAPITAL PROJECTS CAPITAL PROJECTS	*****	****	**************************************) ********
		CUR YR EST REV	ALL YRS EST REV	CUR YR REVENUE	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT :	: P10774	MEDIAN/ROAD LANDSCAPING	APING & IRRIGATION				
BEGIN DATE :	: 02/23/2004	.00	218,568.14	000.	.00	00.	.00
PROJECT :	: P10777	SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-PU	PURCHASE & RESTORATION	NOI		1	
BEGIN DATE :	: 01/21/2004	00.	4,327,531.94 6,644,983.00	00.	4,290,194.94 6,516,611.20	128,352.40	-37,337.00 19.40 S
PROJECT :	P10787	NCIP 2003/4 SUNSET	CIVIC ASSOCIATION				
BEGIN DATE :	03/09/2004	00.	6,832.66	000	6,832.66	00.	00.
PROJECT :	P10867	FIRE RESCUE MEDICAL	L USA SYSTEM (MEDUSA)	(A)			
BEGIN DATE :	09/21/2004	.00	461,608.09	30,048.33	461,510.07	98.02	00,
PROJECT :	P10891	CAPITAL MAINTENANCE	EJ				
BEGIN DATE :	10/05/2004	.00.000,001-	000.	00.	25,941.52	00.	25,941.52
PROJECT:	: P10904	SAILBOAT BEND PRES	PRESERVE PROJECT				
BEGIN DATE :	: 12/21/2004	00.	.00	245.00	51,597.67	00.	.00
PROJECT :	P10916	NEW FIRE STATION 3					
BEGIN DATE : END DATE :	09/12/2006	000.	71,845.76	000.	.00	00.	00.

FAMIS UPDATE	E NO :	FAMIS UPDATE NO : 4119 ***********************************	CITY OF FORT LA PROJECT FISCAL PERIOD ************************************	FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SY ROJECT STATUS BY FUND PERIOD : 09 2012 JUNE	SYSTEM E 2012	RUN DATE: 07/12/2012 RUN TIME: 10:48 PM PAGE NUM: 102	RUN DATE: 07/12/2012 RUN TIME: 10:48 PM PAGE NUM: 102
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	30 340 01	CAPITAL GOB CONS GOB CONS	PROJECTS STRUCTION FUND 1997 STRUCTION FUND 1997		**************************************	*****	****
		CUR YR EST REV	ALL YRS EST REV ALL YRS BUDGET	CUR YR REVENUE	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT	: P00001	NOT PROJECT RELATED	Q				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 09/03/1984	00.	22,952.00	182,420.80	43,980,080.83	00:	43,980,080.83
PROJECT	: P10355	BEACH RESTROOMS REG	CONSTRUCTION				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 04/03/2001	00.	00.	000	00.	00.	000.
PROJECT	: P10423	HOLIDAY PARK WAR M	EMORIAL RENOVATION				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 11/20/2001	00.	82,030.34	000	.00	00"	00.
PROJECT	: P10777	SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-	PURCHASE & RESTORATION	ION			
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 01/21/2004	00.	813,507.00	00.	813,507.00	00.	00.
PROJECT	: P11016	COONTIE HATCHEE LANDING	NDING				
BEGIN DATE	: 08/02/2005	00.	151,995.25	00.	151,995.25	00.	00.
PROJECT	: P11074	HOLIDAY PARK MAINT	PARK MAINTENANCE FACILITY				
BEGIN DATE	: 12/20/2005	00.	573,965.00	000	573,965.00	00.	000
PROJECT	: P11150	AUTOMATED PARKING	SYSTEM FTL AQUATIC O	CTR			
BEGIN DATE	: 07/21/2006	00.	98.752.00	000	.00	C	000

FAMRS107 FAMIS UPDATE NO	. ON E	4119	CITY OF FORT LA PROJECT FISCAL PERIOD	FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SY ROJECT STATUS BY FUND PERIOD : 09 2012 JUNE	LAUDERDALE FAMIS SYSTEM T STATUS BY FUND D : 09 2012 JUNE 2012	RUN RUN RUN PAGE	RUN DATE: 07/12/2012 RUN TIME: 10:48 PM PAGE NUM: 105
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	: 30 : 343 : 01	CAPITAL SPECIAL SPECIAL	PROJECTS OBLIGATION CONSTR	**************************************	**************************************	****	***
		CUR YR EST REV	ALL YRS EST REV ALL YRS BUDGET	CUR YR REVENDE CUR YR EXPEND	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT	: P00001	NOT PROJECT RELATED	Q			4	
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 09/03/1984	000	10,051,200.00	708,878.33	4,294,199.81	00.	-5,757,000.19
PROJECT	: P00343	SPECIAL OBLIGATION DEBT	DEBT ISSUE COSTS				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 10/01/2008	00.	51,200.00	000	8,700.00	00.	42,500.00
PROJECT	: P10266	GEORGE ENGLISH BASEBALL	EBALL FIELD IMPROVEMEN	EMEN			
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 04/18/2000	00.	100,000,000	00.	.00	00.	37,134.80
PROJECT	: P10777	SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-	SCHOOL-PURCHASE & RESTORATION	NOIL			
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 01/21/2004	00.000,008	3,800,000,00	104,647.34	601,040.68	2,170,134.91	2,028,824.41
PROJECT	: P11231	RIVERWALK IMPROVEMENTS	ENTS				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 10/01/2009	000	150,000.00	00.828.00	,00	00'	.00.
PROJECT	: P11291	CITY HALL 6TH FLOOR RENOVATION	R RENOVATION				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 06/24/2007	000	00.	00.	00.	00.	00.
PROJECT	: P11322	BEACH IMPROVEMENTS					
BEGIN DATE	: 09/18/2007	000	719,680,45	175,148,00	.00	712 252 00	00.

FAMRS107 FAMIS UPDATE NO : 4119 ***********************************	E NO : 4	FAMRS107 FAMIS UPDATE NO : 4119		FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS S'ROJECT STATUS BY FUND PERIOD : 09 2012 JUNE	CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE FAMIS SYSTEM RUN DATE: 07/12/2012 PROJECT STATUS BY FUND FISCAL PERIOD : 02 2012 A***********************************	RUN RUN PAGI	**************************************
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	: 40 : 454 : 01	ENTERPRISE WATER & SE WATER & SE	ISE SEWER GENERAL CAPITAL SEWER GENERAL CAPITAL	AL PROJECTS	*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **	******	***
		CUR YR EST REV	ALL YRS EST REV ALL YRS BUDGET	CUR YR REVENUE CUR YR EXPEND	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT	: P10553	DAVIE BLVD FORCE MAIN	AIN & WATER MAIN IMPRV	RV			
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 11/18/2002	000	.00	850.00	515,480,81	00.	.00
PROJECT	: P10578	SEWER AREA 1 RIVERSID	SIDE PK SEWER/SMALL WM	WM			
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 07/15/2003	000	150,000.00	357.77	150,000,00	00.	00.
PROJECT	: P10669	ADVERTISING/PERMITS/P	S/PRINTING BEFORE BIDS	DS			
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 05/05/2003	00.	50,000.00	2,093.25	34,142.21	00.	15,857.79
PROJECT	: P10705	RIVER OAK (AREA 6)	WATER & SEWER IMPROVE	VE			
BEGIN DATE	: 09/05/2003	00.	101,000.00	00.	101,000.00 648,427.09	00.	39,589,79
PROJECT	: P10760	WATER SUPPLY PLANNING	IING ASSISTANCE				
BEGIN DATE END DATE	: 02/03/2004 : 01/01/2999	00.	251,120.00	00.	196,536.55	35,663.11	18,920.34
PROJECT	: P10777	SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL-	SCHOOL-PURCHASE & RESTORATION	ON			
BEGIN DATE	: 01/21/2004	249.92	9,890.13	00.	9,890.13	00.	00.
PROJECT	: P10815	TARPON RIVER AREA LAR	LARGE WATER MAIN				
BEGIN DATE	: 04/15/2004	00.	.00	35.157.81	.00	o c	00.

PAMRS107		FAMRS107	CILX	AUDERDALE FAMIS STATUS BY FUND	SYSTEM	RUN	DATE: 07/12/2012 TIME: 10:48 PM
FAMIS UPDATE NO	******	4119	FAMIS UPDATE NO : 4119 EISCAL PERIOD : 09 2012 JUNE 2012 PAGE NUM: ************************************	D : 09 2012 JUNE	3 2012	PAG	*
FUND TYPE FUND SUBFUND	: 10 : 125 : 01	SPECIAL R HURRICANE HURRICANE	SPECIAL REVENUE HURRICANE FUND HURRICANE FUND				
		CUR YR EST REV	ALL YRS EST REV ALL YRS BUDGET	CUR YR REVENUE	ALL YRS REVENUE ALL YRS EXPEND	ENCUMB/PRE-ENC	UNREALIZED REV REMAIN BALANCE
PROJECT : P1	P16001	CITY HALL					
BEGIN DATE : 10, END DATE : 01,	10/01/2005	000	29,600.00	000	1,750.13 6,622.00	00.	1,750.13 22,978.00
PROJECT : P1	P16003	LAS OLAS MARINA					
BEGIN DATE : 10, END DATE : 01,	10/01/2005	000	102,484.00	00.	6,152.57	00,	6,152.57
PROJECT : P1	P16004	FLOYD HULL					
BEGIN DATE : 10 END DATE : 01	10/01/2005	00.	710,124.00	209,073.55	.00	00.	20,694.29
PROJECT : P1	P16005	LAUDERDALE MANORS					
BEGIN DATE : 10 END DATE : 01	10/01/2005	00.	5,523.00	00.	372.00	00.	372.00
PROJECT : P1	P16006	301 BUILDING					
BEGIN DATE : 10 END DATE : 01	10/01/2005 01/01/2999	000.	.00	00.	20,745.35	00.	20,745.35
PROJECT : P1	P16008	SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL					
BEGIN DATE : 10 END DATE : 01	10/01/2005	000	279,659,50	56,266.52	56,266.52	00.	56,266.52
PROJECT : P1	P16009	FIRE STATIONS					
BEGIN DATE : 10 END DATE : 01	10/01/2005	000.	.00	00.	2,003.22	00.	2,003.22

Gloria LeClaire

From:

Frank Snedaker

Sent:

Monday, October 01, 2012 12:20 PM

To:

Gloria LeClaire; Albert Carbon

Cc:

Marco Hausy; John Herbst

Subject:

RE: Southside 09/27/12 meeting

From: Gloria LeClaire

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2012 11:08 AM

To: Albert Carbon

Cc: Frank Snedaker; Marco Hausy; John Herbst

Subject: Southside 09/27/12 meeting

Hello,

As per our discussions at the above please find the pending concerns below:

1. Why do amounts on the 2006 Keith and Schnars cost estimate not agree with the City Architect's 2006 cost estimate (document provided at meeting)?

The scope changed through the addition of Phase III items which were never in original K & S estimate

2. Please explain how the 3 phases on the City Architect's cost estimate tie into the 5 phases on the Keith and Schnars cost estimate(document provided at meeting)?

K&S Phase 1, 2 were City Phase I The remaining 3, 4 and 5 are Phase II. Phase III is additional as noted above. There are some additions to Phase I never clearly noted on K&S costs, specifically the Site Civil (Man-Con) work.

3. Why the July/2007 City Architect's cost estimate is approximately \$233k more than the Faith + Gould estimate(document provided at meeting)?

I believe it is based on an assumed cost escalation, but will research more fully.

4. The City Architect has assigned the Faith + Gould cost differently amongst the components; Park (W), Playground (P) and School (S) when compared to the report received from the PW Director on 08/21/12. Come to an agreement as to which cost apply to which costing center.

Use City Architect breakdown with the exception of site built furnishings (\$15,900) which should be moved to Park

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Thank you

Gloria LeClaire

City Auditor's Office Assistant City Auditor II 954-828-4561