

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE RFQ EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION

RFP# 855-11487/Inspection and Plan Examination

November 4, 2014

RFQ	Scoring Tabulation	Rater # 1 Alex Hernandez									Rater # 2 Liza Torres																	
		Cost t	o the	City	Pr	revious		Sco	oe of Se	rvices	Gu	iarante	9		Cost	to the City		Previou	IS	Scope	of Se	rvices		Guarai	ntee			
		Total P	roject	Cost	Exp	erience	2,	Unde	rstandir	g of the	of minima	l staffin	g (6,000		Total F	roject Cos	t E	perien	ce	Unders	tandir	ng of the	of m	ninimal	staffing			
					qualifi	cations	and	overall	needs c	of the City	hours	of inspe	ction				qual	fication	is and	overall n	eeds c	of the City	(6,	,000 h	ours of			
					past pe	rformar	ice of	for su	ıch serv	ices, as	services)	in the e	vent of				past	perforr	nance	for suc	h serv	rices, as	inspe	ction s	ervices) in			
					the pro	posing	firm,	р	resente	d in	an emerg	ency or	natural				of th	ie prop	osing		esente		t	he eve	nt of			
					in	cluding				proposal.	d	isaster						n, inclu	-			proposal.		-	ency or			
					persor					e problem								ns pro	•		will in		na	tural d	isaster			
						ovide t				and the								orovide		'		tification						
					service				propos									ces, fac				posed						
						urces a				mplish the								ources				complish						
					ret	erences	5	W	ork requ	iired							r	eferenc	es	the w	ork re	quired.						
	PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	Weight Factor	Ranking	Veight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	Average Points	Total Combined Points
C.A.P.	Government, Inc.	0.3		0.30	0.3		0.60	0.3	2	0.60	0.1	3	0.30	1.80	0.3		0.3		0.30	0.3	1	0.30	0.1		0.10	1.00	1.12	5.60
Nova E	ngineering and Enviromental	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	3	0.90	0.1	2	0.20	2.60	0.3	2 0.6	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	3	0.90	0.1	3	0.30	2.70	2.18	10.90
Calvin	Giordano & Associates, Inc.	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	1	0.30	0.3	1	0.30	0.1	1	0.10	1.60	0.3	3 0.9	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	2	0.60	0.1	2	0.20	2.30	1.50	7.50

RFQ Scoring Tabulation		Rater # 3 Mohammad Malik								Rater # 4 John Cioffi																	
		Cost t	o the (City	Pr	evious		Scop	e of Se	rvices	Guarante	e	of		Cost t	to the City	P	reviou	s	Scope	e of Se	ervices		Guarai	ntee		
		Total P	roject	Cost	Exp	erience		Unders	tandin	g of the	minimal	staffing	(6,000		Total P	roject Cost	Ex	perien	ce	Unders	tandir	ng of the	of m	ninimal	staffing		
					qualifi	cations a	and	overall n	eeds o	f the City	hours	of inspe	ction				qualif	ication	s and	overall n	eeds o	of the City	(6,	,000 hc	ours of		
					past pei	forman	ce of	for su	ch servi	ices, as	services)	in the e	vent of				past p	erforn	nance	for suc	ch serv	ices, as	insped	ction se	ervices) in		
						posing f	irm,		esente		an emerg		natural					e propo	-		esente		t	he eve	nt of		
					in	cluding				roposal.	C	lisaster					firm	, includ	ding			proposal.		-	ency or		
					•	s propo				problem								ns pro		_		clude	na	tural d	isaster		
						ovide th				and the								rovide				tification					
						s, facilit			ropose									es, faci	,			posed					
						urces an				nplish the								ources				complish					
[F					ret	erences		wo	rk requ	irea							re	ference	es	tne w	ork re	quired					
PROPOSING FIRM	1	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	Weight Factor	Ranking Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	
C.A.P. Government, Inc).	0.3	1	0.30	0.3	1	0.30	0.3	1	0.30	0.1	1	0.10	1.00	0.3	1 0.30	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	2	0.60	0.1	3	0.30	1.80	
Nova Engineering and En	viromental	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	3	0.90	0.1	3	0.30	3.00	0.3	2 0.60	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	3	0.90	0.1	2	0.20	2.60	
Calvin Giordano & Assoc	iates, Inc.	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	2	0.60	0.1	2	0.20	2.00	0.3	3 0.90	0.3	1	0.30	0.3	1	0.30	0.1	1	0.10	1.60	

Local Preference (if applicable)	,	Local Preference Deduction	Final Total Score	1.1 Final Average Score	Final Ranking	N/A N/A N/A
0.0)%	0.00	5.60	1.12		N/A
0.0)%	0.00	10.90	2.18		N/A
0.0)%	0.00	7.50	1.50		N/A